

July Term 1835

by the Court here that the said Plaintiff recover against the said Defendant their Costs in the behalf laid out and expended and that they have execution thereof & the defendant in mercy &c

John Kenney and Isley Kenney filed here a petition to have a mill dam across Fishing River on the lands in said Petition mentioned. It is Ordered that writ of ad quod Damnum do Issue returnable here to the next term of this Court to be executed by the Sheriff of Ray County on the 4 Monday in August next and this case is continued until next term of this Court and the Court having got through the business adjourn until Court in course

In Vacation September 6<sup>th</sup> 1835 in the Ray Circuit Court

W. W. Phelps Plaintiff vs Richard Simpson & Others Defendants. In case now at this day came the said Plaintiff by his attorney and made application for a dedimus to take depositions in the state of Ohio on behalf the plaintiff in this cause. It is therefore considered that the same be granted according to the request of the said Plaintiff

George Woodward Clerk

Edward Patridge Plaintiff vs Samuel D Lucas and Others Defs. In case now at this day came the said plaintiff by his attorney and made application for a Dedimus to take depositions in the state of Ohio on behalf the said plaintiff. It is considered that a dedimus be therefore granted according to the request of the said Plaintiff and is issued in these cases accordingly

George Woodward Clerk

In Vacation October the 16<sup>th</sup> 1835

Branick Wilkinson Plaintiff vs Zachariah Lee defendant. In Judgment this day by Jno. S. Wilkinson came and directed this suit to be dismissed and he having paid up the costs herein incurred as far as he can ascertain the same; It is Ordered that the said suit be dismissed from the docket of this Court which is done accordingly

George Woodward Clerk

A Circuit Court begun and held at Richmond in Ray County in the state of Missouri on the first Monday in November etc

prosecute her writ aforesaid against the said defendant, but vol-  
=luntarily suffers her said action to be discontinued: Therefore it  
is considered <sup>on depts depts and adjudge</sup> by the Court here that the said defendant recover against  
the said complainant his costs in this suit by him laid out and ex-  
pends in his defence and that he have execution thereof

William S. Miller Plaintiff in Equity

vs  
Thomas O'Rienny and John H. Hugh Martin } Now at this day came  
the parties aforesaid by their attorneys and by consent this cause is continued until  
the next term of this Court

Edward Sanderson Plaintiff In detinue

vs  
Thomas W. Jacobs defendant } Now at this day came the parties  
aforesaid by their attorneys and  
the plaintiff filed here a bill of discovery against said defendant,  
and the defendant filed an answer thereto, and said defen-  
dant by his attorney offers himself ready for trial in the plea afo-  
said, and the said plaintiff suggests to the Court here that he cannot safely  
go into the trial of this cause, and moves for a continuance which is  
granted by <sup>consent</sup> ~~order~~ of the Dept. Therefore it is considered by the Court here  
that the said defendant recover against the said Plaintiff his costs  
and charges by him sustained about his defence in this behalf since  
last continuance of this cause and that he do have Execution  
thereof And this cause is continued accordingly

William Thompson vs Joseph Thompson In detinue

this day came the defendant by his attorney and filed his plea of  
non detinet, and this cause is continued until next term

Wm. Phelps Plaintiff

vs  
Richard Simpson and others debtors in law } In ~~Equity~~ <sup>Trust</sup> Now at this day came the  
parties aforesaid by their  
attorneys and by consent this cause is continued until ~~the~~  
~~29th day of February next~~ <sup>next term</sup>

Edward Pattidge Plaintiff

vs  
Samuel D. Lucas and others defendants } In ~~Equity~~ <sup>Trust</sup> Now at this day  
came the parties  
aforesaid by their attorneys and by consent, this cause is continued  
until ~~the 29th day of February next~~ <sup>next term</sup>

John Wilkerson Plaintiff In ~~Equity~~ <sup>Trust</sup> as against & Battery

vs  
Asa Estes defendant } Now at this day comes the  
parties aforesaid by their attorneys  
and Joined Issue on the pleas herein and thereupon Come a Jury

a justice of the County Court within and for the County of Lafayette in the State aforesaid this day and year above written James Young Justice of County Court Lafayette County.

Wiley O. Williams produced here in court his certificate of election as clerk of this court and entered upon the duties of his office:

The Sheriff having returned on the venire to him delivered a sufficient number of Grand Jurors, the following of whom were sworn, viz William C. Pollard foreman Robert Prichard James Heill George Bunch Walling A. Rogers Labez Thotwell Ebenezer Carson James Tucker Andrew Mesbaney William Brown John Elliot David Lee James B. Brown Roland Ralph Philip Livingston Hugh Vallaridugham David Kinville & Seren Brookshire (18) who being duly sworn and having received a charge from the court retired to consider of their presentments.

Amos Rees licent attorney appeared in court and entered upon the duties of his office.

Joseph Thomson vs J. Trapp & defendant by his attorney and James Ball & filed here in court his motion to rule the said plaintiff to give security for costs of said suit, and the said defendant filed also his plea of not guilty to the plaintiffs declaration.

John Wilkinson

vs

Hiram G. Parks garnishee on execution

Now at this day came here into court the said John Wilkinson and at appearing from the returns of the Sheriff made here that the said Parks was duly summoned as a garnishee upon an execution issued from this Court in favour of the said Wilkinson against one Asa Ester, which execution <sup>being a fieri facias</sup> was issued from this Court and made returnable to this term of this court, the said Wilkinson filed here in court his interrogatories against said Parks as such garnishee:

E. Patridge vs J. Trapp & J. D. Mear & others The defendants filed here their motion to suppress the reading and

March Term 1836

evidence in this cause the depositions of the said  
 plaintiffs nitroper, taken in Kentland Ohio

The State of Missouri

Indictment for perjury } Now at this day came the  
 Jeremiah Crowley } said Jeremiah Crowley here  
 } into court in discharge of his  
 recognizance, whereupon the said Jeremiah Crowley and  
 Henry Holman and Jacob Stallings as his securities  
 acknowledged themselves to owe and be indebted to  
 the state of Missouri, that is to say the said Jeremiah  
 Crowley in the sum of one thousand dollars and the  
 said Henry Holman and Jacob Stallings in the sum of  
 five hundred dollars each to be levied of their respec-  
 tive goods and chattels lands and tenements and bodies  
 to be void upon condition that the said Jeremiah Crow-  
 ley make his personal appearance at the next term of  
 this court to be holden in Richmond on the first Mon-  
 day of July next then and there to answer to an indict-  
 ment in said court preferred against him for perjury  
 and that he depart not thence without leave of said  
 court. And this cause is continued until the next term  
 of this court.

The State of Missouri } Now at this day came the  
 Indictment for perjury } said Willis Elliot into court in  
 Willis Elliot } discharge of his recognizance

and thereupon the said Willis Elliot and John Elliot and  
 Dinch Moore as his securities acknowledged them-  
 selves to owe and stand indebted to the state of Missouri  
 that is to say the said Willis Elliot in the sum of five hun-  
 dred dollars and the said John Elliot and Dinch Moore  
 in the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars each to be levied  
 of their respective goods and chattels lands tenements and  
 bodies to be void upon condition that the said Willis  
 Elliot make his personal appearance in this court at the  
 next term thereof being the first Monday of July next  
 then and there to answer to an indictment in said  
 court preferred against him for perjury and do not de-  
 part thence without the leave of said court. and this cause is  
 continued until the next term of this court

The State of Missouri } Now at this day appeared here  
 Indictment for perjury } in court the said John Crowley in  
 John Crowley } discharge of his recognizance

March Term 1836

and thereupon the said John Crowley and Daniel Holman and David Lee his securities acknowledged themselves to owe and be indebted to the state of Missouri that is to say the said John Crowley in the sum of five hundred dollars and the said Daniel Holman and David Lee in the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars each to be levied of their respective goods and chattels lands tenements and bodies to be void upon this condition that if the said John Crowley shall make his personal appearance at at the next term of this court in Richmond on the first Monday of July next then and there to answer to an indictment in said court preferred against him for perjury and not depart thence without leave of the said court. and this cause is continued

~~the State of Missouri~~ <sup>until the next term of this court</sup> Now at this day came ~~the said John Crowley~~ <sup>William Crowley</sup> ~~and David Lee~~ <sup>and Robert Lee and Henry McGee</sup> as his securities and thereupon the said William Crowley acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the state of Missouri that is to say the said William Crowley in the sum of five hundred dollars and the said Robert Lee and Henry McGee in the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars each to be levied of their respective goods and chattels lands and tenements and bodies to be void upon condition that the said William Crowley make his personal appearance in this court at the next term thereof on the first Monday of July next at Richmond then and there to answer to an indictment in said court preferred against him and that he do not depart thence without leave of said court. And this cause is continued until the next term of this court.

E Patridge vs J P Freshap & D A near others } Now at this day came the said parties by their attorneys and on motion of the said plaintiff this cause is continued until the next Term of this court at the cost of the plaintiff.

W W Phelps vs J P Freshap & Richard Simpson } Now at this day came the said parties by their attorneys and

July Term 1836

This day came here into court the Jury empaneled on yesterday and the cause being submitted on the testimony and the argument of Counsel being heard and the said jury having retired to consider of their verdict do say that the said defendant is not guilty in manner and form as charged in the said bill of indictment. It is therefore considered by the court here that the said defendant be discharged thereof and that he go hence thereof without day. and that the said defendant recover of and from the said State of Missouri his costs by him in this behalf laid out and expended:

John W. Field and William Moberly

vs  $\frac{3}{3}$  Interrogatories to Garnishees on execution  
Robert Mitchell & William McLaugh.

The said defendants move the court here to quash the summons and interrogatories in the above cause for reasons therein stated which said motion was by the court here over ruled.

The Grand Jurors empaneled at this term of this court came here into court and reported to the court here that the Jail of said county is in good order and needs no repairs - and the said Grand Jury having no further business before them were by the court here discharged.

Edward Partridge

vs  $\frac{3}{3}$  Trespass vi et armis  
Samuel O. Lucas & others

Now at this day came the parties aforesaid by their attorneys and neither party requiring a jury the said cause is by consent of said parties here submitted to the court. and the evidence being seen and heard the court here doth find the defendants guilty in manner and form as charged in the said declaration and assess the plaintiffs damages to one cent. It is therefore considered by the court here that the said plaintiff recover of said defendants the said sum of one cent for his damages in this behalf sustained together with his costs by him in this behalf laid out and expended and that he have execution thereof. &c